arXiv:solv-int/9705016v2 16 Jun 1997

Dual Isomonodromic Problems and Whitham Equations

Kanehisa Takasaki *

Department of Fundamental Sciences, Kyoto University

Yoshida, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606, Japan

E-mail: takasaki@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract

The author's recent results on an asymptotic description of the Schlesinger equation are generalized to the JMMS equation. As in the case of the Schlesinger equation, the JMMS equation is reformulated to include a small parameter ϵ. By the method of multiscale analysis, the isomonodromic problem is approximated by slow modulations of an isospectral problem. A modulation equation of this slow dynamics is proposed, and shown to possess a number of properties similar to the Seiberg-Witten solutions of low energy supersymmetric gauge theories.

KUCP-0106

*Partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Researches, Priority Area 231 "Infinite Analysis", the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan

1 Introduction

This paper aims to supplement the author's recent work on an asymptotic description of isomonodromic problems [1] in the language of Whitham equations [2]. In the previous paper, the author considered the Schlesinger equation [3], and proposed a modulation equation that is expected to govern the Whitham dynamics of an underlying isospectral problem. The modulation equation and its solutions exhibit remarkable similarity with the so called "Seiberg-Witten solutions" of low energy supersymmetric gauge theories [4]. In this paper, we shall extend these results to the Jimbo-Miwa-Môri-Sato (JMMS) equation [5]. The JMMS equation is the simplest generalization of the Schlesinger equation with an extra irregular singular point at infinity, and has found many applications in solvable lattice models, field theories, and random matrices [5, 6, 7]. Furthermore, this equation possesses a kind of duality that exchanges the roles of regular singularities at finite points and the irregularities at infinity [8]. This duality is an isomonodromic counterpart of a similar duality in isospectral problems of Garnier and Moser type [9]. A main concern of the following consideration is the fate of this duality in the modulation equation.

2 JMMS Equation

In this section, we collect basic facts about the JMMS equation from the literature [5, 7, 8].

The JMMS equation governs isomonodromic deformations of the matrix ODE system

$$\frac{dY}{d\lambda} = M(\lambda)Y \tag{1}$$

with an $r \times r$ matrix coefficient

$$M(\lambda) = U + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{A_i}{\lambda - t_i}, \tag{2}$$

where A_i's are $r \times r$ complex matrices, and U_i a diagonal matrix of the form

$$U = \mathrm{diag}(u_1, \cdots, u_r) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} u_\alpha E_\alpha. \tag{3}$$

(Here E_α is the matrix whose (β, γ) element is $\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\alpha\gamma}$.) t_i and u_α are "time variables" of isomonodromic deformations. $\lambda = t_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots, N)$ are regular singular points, and $\lambda = \infty$ an irregular singular point of Poincaré rank 1. In order to avoid logarithmic terms,

we assume, *throughout this paper*, that the eigenvalues $\theta_{i\alpha}$ $(\alpha = 1, \cdots, r)$ of A_i have no integer difference, and that u_i's are pairwise distinct:

$$\theta_{i\alpha} - \theta_{i\beta} \notin \mathbf{Z}, \quad u_\alpha - u_\beta \neq 0 \quad (\alpha \neq \beta). \tag{4}$$

Under this assumption, isomonodromic deformations are generated by the PDE's

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t_i} = -\frac{A_i}{\lambda - t_i} Y, \quad \frac{\partial Y}{\partial u_\alpha} = (\lambda E_\alpha + B_\alpha) Y, \tag{5}$$

where

$$B_\alpha = -\sum_{\beta(\neq\alpha)} \frac{E_\alpha A_\infty E_\beta + E_\beta A_\infty E_\alpha}{u_\alpha - u_\beta}, \quad A_\infty = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i. \tag{6}$$

The Frobenius integrability conditions give the so called "zero-curvature" (or "Lax") representation of isomonodromic deformations. The essential part of the zero-curvature representation consists of the equations

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} + \frac{A_i}{\lambda - t_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - M(\lambda)\right] = 0, \quad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u_\alpha} - \lambda E_\alpha - B_\alpha, \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - M(\lambda)\right] = 0. \tag{7}$$

They give the following equations for A_i's (JMMS equation):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial t_i} &= (1 - \delta_{ij}) \frac{[A_i, A_j]}{t_i - t_j} + \delta_{ij} \left[U + \sum_{k(\neq i)} \frac{A_k}{t_i - t_k}, A_j\right], \\ \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial u_\alpha} &= [t_j E_\alpha + B_\alpha, A_j]. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The isomonodromic deformations have two sets of invariants. One of them consists of the eigenvalues $\theta_{j\alpha}$ of A_j's:

$$\frac{\partial \theta_{j\beta}}{\partial t_i} = \frac{\partial \theta_{j\beta}}{\partial u_\alpha} = 0. \tag{9}$$

Another set of invariants are the diagonal elements of A_∞:

$$\frac{\partial A_{\infty,\beta\beta}}{\partial t_i} = \frac{\partial A_{\infty,\beta\beta}}{\partial u_\alpha} = 0. \tag{10}$$

These invariants are nothing but characteristic exponents of the ODE at the singular points.

The invariance of $\theta_{i\alpha}$ is related to a coadjoint orbit structure behind the JMMS equation. Note that the right hand side is a commutator of the form $[\cdots, A_j]$. This implies that the motion of A_j is constrainted to a coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_j$ in $\mathrm{gl}(r, \mathbf{C})$; the eigenvalues

$\theta_{j\alpha}$ are invariants that determine this coajoint orbit. Thus, the JMMS equation is a non-autonomous dynamical system on the direct product $\mathcal{O}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_N$ of coajoint orbits. This dynamical system can be written in the Hamiltonian form

$$\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial t_i} = \{A_j, H_i\}, \quad \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial u_\alpha} = \{A_j, K_\alpha\} \tag{11}$$

with the Hamiltonians

$$\begin{aligned} H_i &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(UA_i + \sum_{j(\neq i)} \frac{A_i A_j}{t_i - t_j}\right), \\ K_\alpha &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(E_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i A_i + \sum_{\beta(\neq\alpha)} \frac{E_\alpha A_\infty E_\beta A_\infty}{u_\alpha - u_\beta}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The Poisson bracket is the standard Kostant-Kirillov bracket on $\mathrm{gl}(r, \mathbf{C})$:

$$\{A_{i,\alpha\beta}, A_{j,\rho\sigma}\} = \delta_{ij}(-\delta_{\beta\rho}A_{j,\alpha\sigma} + \delta_{\sigma\alpha}A_{j,\rho\beta}). \tag{13}$$

3 Dual Formalism

In this section, we review the notion of dual isomonodromic problem [8]. Although we have assumed the genericity condition on characteristic exponents, the dual problem itself can be formulated in a more general setting. (This is also the case for isospectral problems [9].) Suppose now that the rank of A_i takes a general value ℓ_i. ℓ_i is also a coajoint orbit invariant, and constant under the JMMS equation in the generalized sense.

The first step towards the dual problem is to express the rational matrix $M(\lambda)$ as:

$$M(\lambda) = U - {}^tG(\lambda I - T)^{-1}F, \tag{14}$$

where $F = (F_{a\alpha})$ and $G = (G_{a\alpha})$ are $\ell \times r$ matrices, $\ell = \sum \ell_i$, and T is a diagonal matrix of the form

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i D_i, \quad D_i = E_{\ell_1+\cdots+\ell_{i-1}+1} + \cdots + E_{\ell_1+\cdots+\ell_i}. \tag{15}$$

In particular, A_i is written $A_i = -{}^tGD_iF$. $F_{a\alpha}$ and $G_{a\alpha}$ may be understood as canonical coordinates with the Poisson bracket

$$\{F_{a\alpha}, F_{b\beta}\} = \{G_{a\alpha}, G_{b\beta}\} = 0, \quad \{F_{a\alpha}, G_{b\beta}\} = \delta_{ab}\delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{16}$$

The map $(F, G) \mapsto (A_1, \cdots, A_N)$ then becomes a Poisson map, and the JMMS equation can be derived from a Hamiltonian system in the (F, G)-space with the same Hamiltonians H_i and K_α.

The dual problem is formulated in terms of the rational $\ell \times \ell$ matrix

$$L(\mu) = T - G(\mu I - U)^{-1t} G, \tag{17}$$

which can be rewritten

$$L(\mu) = T + \sum_\alpha \frac{P_\alpha}{\mu - u_\alpha} \tag{18}$$

with $P_\alpha = -F E_\alpha{}^t G$. Note that P_α is a rank 1 matrix; this restriction, too, can be relaxed [8, 9]. The map $(F, G) \mapsto (P_1, P_2, \cdots)$ is a Poisson map for the Kostant-Kirillov bracket

$$\{P_{\alpha,ab}, P_{\beta,cd}\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}(-\delta_{bc} P_{\beta,ad} + \delta_{da} P_{\beta,cb}). \tag{19}$$

The previous Hamiltonians H_i and K_α can also be viewed as a function of P_α's, and induces a non-autonomous Hamiltonian system in these new variables. This again gives an equation of JMMS type. The corresponding isomonodromic problem can be written

$$\frac{dZ}{d\lambda} = -L(\mu) Z, \quad \frac{\partial Z}{\partial u_\alpha} = \frac{P_\alpha}{\mu - u_\alpha} Z, \quad \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t_i} = -(\mu D_i + Q_i) Z, \tag{20}$$

where

$$Q_i = -\sum_{j(\neq i)} \frac{D_i P_\infty D_j + D_j P_\infty D_i}{t_i - t_j}, \quad P_\infty = -\sum_\alpha P_\alpha. \tag{21}$$

4 Introducing Small Parameter

We now introduce a small parameter ϵ by the following substitution rule (which was first proposed by Vereschagin for the Painlevé equations [10]):

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \to \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \to \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_i}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_\alpha} \to \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial u_\alpha}, \\ &\frac{1}{\lambda - t_i} \to \frac{1}{\lambda - T_i}, \quad \sum u_\alpha E_\alpha \to \sum U_\alpha E_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

As we shall show below, T_i and U_α play the role of "slow variables" in the terminology of multiscale analysis. The JMMS equation now takes the ϵ-dependent form

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial T_i} &= (1 - \delta_{ij}) \frac{[A_i, A_j]}{T_i - T_j} + \delta_{ij} \left[U + \sum_{k(\neq i)} \frac{A_k}{T_i - T_k}, A_j \right], \\ \epsilon \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial U_\alpha} &= [T_j E_\alpha + B_\alpha, A_j], \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where

$$B_\alpha = -\sum_{\beta(\neq\alpha)} \frac{E_\alpha A_\infty E_\beta + E_\beta A_\infty E_\alpha}{U_\alpha - U_\beta}. \tag{24}$$

A central idea of multiscale analysis is the use of two sets of independent variables ("fast" and "slow" variables) that represent two different scales of phenomena. In the present setting, A_j's are thus first assumed to be a function of "fast variables" $(t,u) = (t_i, u_\alpha)$ and "slow variables" $(T,U) = (T_i, U_\alpha)$, then restricted to the subspace

$$t_i = \epsilon^{-1} T_i, \quad u_\alpha = \epsilon^{-1} U_\alpha. \tag{25}$$

Accordingly, derivatives in the original differential equation are replaced by the sum of derivatives in the fast and slow variables:

$$\epsilon\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial T_i} \to \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial t_i} + \epsilon\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial T_i}, \quad \epsilon\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial U_\alpha} \to \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial u_\alpha} + \epsilon\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial U_\alpha}. \tag{26}$$

Subsequent analysis is a kind of perturbation theory. Namely, one assumes the asymptotic form (as $\epsilon \to 0$)

$$A_j = A_j^{(0)}(t,u,T,U) + A_j^{(1)}(t,u,T,U)\epsilon + \cdots, \tag{27}$$

and considers the differential equation order-by-order in ϵ-expansion. The lowest order of this expansion for the JMMS equation yields the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A_j^{(0)}}{\partial t_i} &= (1-\delta_{ij})\frac{[A_i^{(0)}, A_j^{(0)}]}{T_i - T_j} + \delta_{ij}\left[U + \sum_{k(\neq i)} \frac{A_k^{(0)}}{T_i - T_k}, A_j^{(0)}\right], \\ \frac{\partial A_j^{(0)}}{\partial U_\alpha} &= \left[T_j E_\alpha + B_\alpha^{(0)}, A^{(0)}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Here $B_\alpha^{(0)}$ is given by the same formula as B_α except that A_∞ is replaced by $A_\infty^{(0)} = -\sum_{i=1}^N A_i^{(0)}$.

Note that the lowest order equation itself does not determine the (T,U)-dependence (i.e., "slow dynamics") of $A_j^{(0)}$'s. The slow variables appear as *parameters* in the lowest order equation. In the standard recipe of multiscale analysis, the slow dynamics is to be determined by the next order analysis. We shall, however, take a different approach to bypass technical difficulties (or, rather, complexity).

5 Isospectral Problem

Our approach to slow dynamics, which is still heuristic but considerably transparent, is based on the fact that the above lowest order equation is an isospectral problem. The above equation indeed has the isospectral Lax representation

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} + \frac{A_i^{(0)}}{\lambda - T_i}, M^{(0)}(\lambda)\right] = 0, \quad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u_\alpha} - \lambda E_\alpha - B_\alpha^{(0)}, M^{(0)}(\lambda)\right] = 0, \tag{29}$$

where

$$M^{(0)}(\lambda) = U + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{A_i^{(0)}}{\lambda - T_i}. \tag{30}$$

In particular, the characteristic polynomial $\det\Big(\mu I - M^{(0)}(\lambda)\Big)$ is constant under the (t,u)-flows. It however depends on (T,U). Thus the slow dynamics in (T,U) may be described as slow deformations of the characteristic polynomial or of the spectral curve

$$\det\Big(\mu I - M^{(0)}(\lambda)\Big) = 0. \tag{31}$$

Spectral curves of the above type has been studied in detail in the context of isospectral problems of Garnier and Moser [11, 12]. A fundamental result is that the spectral curve C_0 on the (λ,μ)-plane can be compactified to a nonsingular curve C. This is due to the previous assumption on the eigenvalues of A_i's and U; if they have multiple eigenvalues, C has nodal singularities. Let π denote the projection $\pi(\lambda,\mu) = \lambda$ from C_0 to the punctured Riemann sphere $\mathbf{C}P^1 \setminus \{T_1, \cdots, T_N, \infty\}$. This extends to C and gives an r-fold ramified covering of C over $\mathbf{C}P^1$. C is obtained from C_0 by adding several points to the holes over $\lambda = T_1, \cdots, T_N, \infty$. The holes over $\lambda = \infty$ are filled by the r points $(\lambda,\mu) = (\infty, U_\alpha)$ $(\alpha = 1, \cdots, r)$. The situation of holes over $\lambda = T_i$ becomes clearer in terms of the new coordinate

$$\tilde{\mu} = f(\lambda)\mu, \quad f(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{N}(\lambda - T_i), \tag{32}$$

in place of μ. The equation of the spectral curve can be rewritten

$$F(\lambda,\tilde{\mu}) = \det\Big(\tilde{\mu} I - f(\lambda) M^{(0)}(\lambda)\Big) = 0. \tag{33}$$

Compactification is achieved by adding the r points $(\lambda,\tilde{\mu}) = (T_i, f'(T_i)\theta_{i\alpha})$ $(\alpha = 1, \cdots, r)$. The compactified spectral curve has the genus

$$g = \frac{1}{2}(r-1)(rN-2). \tag{34}$$

In order to describe slow dynamics, one has to choose a suitable system of parameters ("moduli") in the space ("moduli space") of all possible spectral curves. Slow dynamics can be formulated in the form of differential equations ("modulation equations") for those moduli with respect to the slow variables (T, U). Note that the isomonodromic invariants $\theta_{i\alpha}$ and $A_{\infty,\alpha\alpha}$ are also constants of slow dynamics.

Such a set of moduli can be singled out from the modified characteristic polynomial $F(\lambda, \tilde{\mu})$. $F(\lambda, \tilde{\mu})$ turns out to have an expansion of the form

$$F(\lambda, \tilde{\mu}) = F^0(\lambda, \tilde{\mu}) + f(\lambda) \sum_{s=2}^{r} \sum_{m=0}^{\delta_s - 1} h_{ms} \lambda^m \tilde{\mu}^s, \tag{35}$$

where δ_s is given by

$$\delta_s = (N-1)s - N. \tag{36}$$

$F^0(\lambda, \tilde{\mu})$ is a polynomial whose coefficients are determined by the isomonodromic invariants and (T, U) only. The coefficients $h_{\delta_s - 1, s}$ $(2 \leq s \leq r)$, too, are comprised of these quantities only. The remaining coefficients h_{ms} $(2 \leq s \leq r,\ 0 \leq m \leq \delta_s - 2)$ give the moduli in the above sense. Thus, as in the case of moduli spaces of Seiberg-Witten type [4], we have altogether g moduli:

$$\sum_{s=2}^{r} (\delta_s - 1) = g. \tag{37}$$

Thus, the leading order term $A_i^{(0)}$ of the multiscale expansion turns out to be a solution of the isospectral problem with slowly varying spectral invariants $h_{ms} = h_{ms}(T, U)$. Since this kind of isospectral problems can be solved by Abelian (or Riemann theta) functions [11, 12], the multiscale expansion $A_i = A_i^{(0)} + O(\epsilon)$ gives an asymptotic expression of JMMS transcendents as a modulated Abelian functions.

6 Modulation Equation

The first step for deriving the modulation equation is the following WKB ansatz (which dates back to Flaschka and Newell's early work on isomonodromic problems [13]):

$$Y = \left(\phi^{(0)}(t, u, T, U, \lambda) + \phi^{(1)}(t, u, T, U, \lambda)\epsilon + \cdots \right) \exp \epsilon^{-1} S(T, U, \lambda). \tag{38}$$

Here Y and $\phi^{(k)}$'s are understood to be vector valued; S is a scalar phase function. Note that whereas $\phi^{(k)}$'s depend on both the fast and slow variables, S is assumed to be a function of slow variables only. Inserting the above ansatz into the isomonodromic linear problem and expanding in powers of ϵ give a series of differential equations for $\phi^{(k)}$'s and S.

The lowest order equation reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda}\phi^{(0)} &= M^{(0)}(\lambda)\phi^{(0)}, \\ \frac{\partial \phi^{(0)}}{\partial t_i} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial T_i}\phi^{(0)} &= -\frac{\partial A^{(0)}}{\lambda - T_i}\phi^{(0)}, \\ \frac{\partial \phi^{(0)}}{\partial u_\alpha} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial U_\alpha}\phi^{(0)} &= (\lambda E_\alpha + B_\alpha^{(0)})\phi^{(0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

(Note that these equations determine $\phi^{(0)}$ up to multiplication $\phi^{(0)} \mapsto \phi^{(0)}h$ by a scalar function $h = h(T, U)$ of slow variables.) These equations can be rewritten into the isospectral linear problem

$$\mu\psi = M^{(0)}(\lambda)\psi, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t_i} = -\frac{A_i^{(0)}}{\lambda - T_i}\psi, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u_\alpha} = (\lambda E_\alpha + B_\alpha^{(0)})\psi \tag{40}$$

by change of variables as

$$\mu = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda}, \quad \psi = \phi^{(0)} \exp\Big(\sum t_i \frac{\partial S}{\partial T_i} + \sum u_\alpha \frac{\partial S}{\partial U_\alpha}\Big). \tag{41}$$

In particular, the characteristic equation $\det\big(\mu I - M^{(0)}(\lambda)\big) = 0$ is satisfied by $\mu = \partial S/\partial\lambda$.

We derive the modulation equation by identifying this ψ with the algebro-geometric expression of ψ in terms of Baker-Akhiezer functions (with a suitable correction by a scalar factor h such that $\phi = \phi^{(0)}h$). In the present case, such an expression takes the form [11]

$$\psi = \phi \exp\Big(\sum t_i \Omega_{T_i} + \sum u_\alpha \Omega_{U_\alpha}\Big), \tag{42}$$

where ϕ is a vector valued function made from various Riemann theta functions on the spectral curve, and Ω_{T_i} and Ω_{U_α} are primitive functions of meromorphic differentials $d\Omega_{T_i}$ and Ω_{U_α},

$$\Omega_{T_i} = \int^{(\lambda,\mu)} d\Omega_{T_i}, \quad \Omega_{U_\alpha} = \int^{(\lambda,\mu)} d\Omega_{U_\alpha}. \tag{43}$$

[More precisely, such an expression of Baker-Akhiezer functions is available upon fixing a symplectic basis A_I, B_I $(I = 1, \cdots, g)$ on the spectral curve. In the present situation,

the symplectic basis has to be chosen so that the above WKB solution gives a correct approximation to the isospectral problem; this is a nontrivial problem. In the following, we *assume* that such a suitable symplectic basis is selected.] The meromorphic differentials $d\Omega_{T_i}$ and $d\Omega_{U_\alpha}$ are characterized by the following conditions:

- $d\Omega_{T_i}$ has poles at the r points in $\pi^{-1}(T_i)$ and is homomorphic outside $\pi^{-1}(T_i)$. Its singular behavior at these points is such that

$$d\Omega_{T_i} = -d\mu + \text{non-singular}. \tag{44}$$

- $d\Omega_{U_\alpha}$ has poles at the r points in $\pi^{-1}(\infty)$ and is holomorphic outside $\pi^{-1}(\infty)$. Its singular behavior at these points is such that

$$d\Omega_{U_\alpha} = d\lambda + \text{non-singular}. \tag{45}$$

- $d\Omega_{T_i}$ and $d\Omega_{U_\alpha}$ have vanishing A_I-periods:

$$\oint_{A_I} d\Omega_{T_i} = \oint_{A_I} d\Omega_{U_\alpha} = 0 \quad (I = 1, \cdots, g). \tag{46}$$

Matching the exponential part in the two expressions of ψ, we obtain the equations

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial T_i} = \Omega_{T_i}, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial U_\alpha} = \Omega_{U_\alpha}. \tag{47}$$

This is an expression of our modulation equation.

This modulation equation can be rewritten

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T_i} dS \bigg|_{\lambda=\text{const}} = d\Omega_{T_i}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial U_\alpha} dS \bigg|_{\lambda=\text{const}} = d\Omega_{U_\alpha} \tag{48}$$

in terms of the meromorphic differential

$$dS = \mu d\lambda. \tag{49}$$

Here, as in the literature of Whitham equations [14, 15], "$\lambda = \text{const}$ " stands for differentiation while leaving λ constant. In particular, the following equation of Flaschka-Forest-McLaughlin type [16] are satisfied under the above equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial T_i} d\Omega_{T_j} \bigg|_{\lambda=\text{const}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial T_j} d\Omega_{T_i} \bigg|_{\lambda=\text{const}}, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial U_\alpha} d\Omega_{U_\beta} \bigg|_{\lambda=\text{const}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial U_\beta} d\Omega_{U_\alpha} \bigg|_{\lambda=\text{const}}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial T_i} d\Omega_{U_\alpha} \bigg|_{\lambda=\text{const}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial U_\alpha} d\Omega_{T_i} \bigg|_{\lambda=\text{const}}. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Thus our modulation equation turns out to give a special family of Whitham equations. These equations, though rather indirectly, determine the slow dynamics of the spectral curve (hence of the spectral invariants $h_{ms} = h_{ms}(T, U)$) for the isospectral aproximation $A_i \sim A_i^{(0)}$ to the JMMS equation.

The same multiscale argument applies to the dual isomonodromic problem, too. The WKB ansatz now takes the form

$$Z = \Big(\chi^{(0)}(t, u, T, U, \mu) + \chi^{(1)}(t, u, T, U, \mu)\epsilon + \cdots\Big) \exp \epsilon^{-1}\Sigma(T, U, \mu). \tag{51}$$

This leads to slow dynamics of the dual isospectral problem [9] based on the dual expression

$$\det\Big(\lambda I - L^{(0)}(\mu)\Big) = 0 \tag{52}$$

of the same spectral curve. The modulation equation is obtained in the dual form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T_i} d\Sigma\Big|_{\mu=\text{const}} = d\Omega_{T_i}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial U_\alpha} d\Sigma\Big|_{\mu=\text{const}} = d\Omega_{U_\alpha}, \tag{53}$$

where

$$d\Sigma = -\lambda d\mu. \tag{54}$$

It should be noted that a similar dual representation is known for the Seiberg-Witten solutions [4].

7 Period Map and Prepotential

The above modulation equation, like the modulation equation of the Schlesinger equation [1], can be treated as a variant of problems of Seiberg-Witten type. We show a list of main results below.

1. *General solutions of the modulation equation are obtained by an inverse period map.* Let us consider the g-dimensional period map $h = (h_{ms}) \mapsto a = (a_I)$ given by the A_I-periods

$$a_I = \oint_{A_I} dS \quad (I = 1, \cdots, g). \tag{55}$$

On very general grounds [1], one can prove that the Jacobian of this map is non-vanishing. The slow variables (T, U) are included in the period integrals as parameters. Accordingly,

the the inverse period map should be written $h = h(T, U, a)$. With a being fixed, this gives a family of deformations of the spectral curve, which turns out to solve the above modulation equation. Varying a, one obtains a general solution.

2. *With (T, U) being fixed, this inverse period map yields deformations of Seiberg-Witten type*. This means that the meromorphic differential dS obeys the deformation equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_I} dS \Big|_{\lambda=\text{const}} = d\omega_I \quad (I = 1, \cdots, g), \tag{56}$$

where $d\omega_I$'s are a basis of holomorphic differentials on the spectral curve normalized as

$$\oint_{A_J} d\omega_I = \delta_{IJ}. \tag{57}$$

3. *A prepotential of Seiberg-Witten type exists*. The prepotential $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(T, U, a)$ can be defined as a (non-zero) solution of the following equations:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial a_I} = b_I, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial T_i} = H_i, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial U_\alpha} = K_\alpha. \tag{58}$$

Here b_I denotes the B_I-period of dS,

$$b_I = \oint_{B_I} dS, \tag{59}$$

and H_i and K_α are the Hamiltonians already introduced. In particular, the second derivatives of $\mathcal{F}$ coincide with the matrix elements of the period matrix:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial a_I \partial a_J} = \mathcal{T}_{IJ} = \oint_{B_J} d\omega_I. \tag{60}$$

8 Conclusion

We have shown that the previous work on the Schlesinger equation can be generalized to the JMMS equation. Although the derivation of the modulation equation is still heuristic, the modulation equation has turned out to possess a number of remarkable properties that strongly suggest that our modulation equation is a correct one. We have also seen that the duality structure of the JMMS equation is inherited to the modulation equation.

A straightforward generalization of the present situation is to add one more irregular singular point at, say, $\lambda = 0$. The rational matrix $M(\lambda)$ then takes the form

$$M(\lambda) = U + \sum \frac{A_i}{\lambda - T_i} + V\lambda^{-2}. \tag{61}$$

In this kind of isomonodromic problems, U and V are usually required to be semi-simple. The beautiful duality in the JMMS equation, however, then ceases to exist. Relaxing this requirement is an interesting issue. A similar situation takes place in the N-periodic Toda chain; it has an $N \times N$ Lax matrix of the form $L = U + W\lambda^{-1} + V\lambda^{-2}$ with U and V being nilpotent. It is well known that a dual representation of this case is provided by a 2×2 monodromy matrix M on the lattice. This fact seems to suggest that a similar duality can exist in isomonodromic problems with two irregular singular points, too.

Another intriguing issue is to extend the present consideration to the case of an elliptic matrix. Remarkably, our M-matrix has almost the same structure as the Lax operator of the XXX Gaudin model [17]. Since an elliptic analogue of the XXX Gaudin model is given by the XYZ Gaudin model [18], It is natural to expect that there will be an elliptic version of the Schlesinger or JMMS equation. In the case of 2×2 matrix, such an elliptic isomonodromic problem will be equivalent to Okamoto's isomonodromic problem on a torus [19]. The corresponding isospectral problem and its Whitham modulations should be described by a spectral cover of the torus. This situation, too, is interesting in the context of the Seiberg-Witten solutions, because the Seiberg-Witten solution of $N = 4$ supersymmetric SU(2) gauge theory is also related to a spectral cover of the torus [4].

References

[1] K. Takasaki, Spectral curves and Whitham equations in isomonodromic problems of Schlesinger type, solv-int/9704004.

[2] G.B. Whitham, Linear and Nonlinear Waves (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974).

[3] L. Schlesinger, Über eine Klasse von Differentialsystemen beliebiger Ordnung mit festen kritischen Punkten, J. für Math. **141** (1912), 96-145.

[4] H. Itoyama and A. Morozov, Integrability and Seiberg-Witten theory: Curves and periods, Nucl. Phys. **B477** (1996), 855-877; Prepotential and the Seiberg-Witten theory, hep-th/9512161.
A. Marshakov, On integrable systems and supersymmetric gauge theories, hep-th/9702083.

[5] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Môri and M. Sato, Density matrix of an impenetrable Bose gas and the fifth Painlevé transcendents, Physica **1D** (1980), 80-158.

[6] A.R. Its, A.G. Izergin, V.E. Korepin and N.A. Slavnov, Differential equations for quantum correlation functions, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B4** (1990), 1003-1037.

[7] C. Tracy and H. Widom, Introduction to random matrices, Lecture Notes in Phys. **424**, pp. 103-130 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelbeg, New York, 1993).

[8] J. Harnad, Dual isomonodromic deformations and moment maps to loop algebras, Commun. Math. Phys. **166** (1994), 337-365.

[9] M.R. Adams, J. Harnad and J. Hurtubise, Dual moment maps into loop algebras, Lett. Math. Phys. **20** (1990), 299-308.

[10] V.L. Vereschagin, Asymptotics of solutions of the discrete string equation, Physica **D95** (1996), 268-282; Nonlinear quasiclassics and Painlevé equations, hep-th/9605092.

[11] M.R. Adams, J. Harnad and E. Previato, Isospectral Hamiltonian flows in finite and infinite dimensions, I, Commun. Math. Phys. **117** (1988), 451-500.
M.R. Adams, J. Harnad and J. Hurtubise, *ditto* II, Commun. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), 555-585.

[12] A. Beauville, Jacobiennes des courbes spectrales et systèmes hamiltoniens complètement intégrables, Acta Math. **164** (1990), 211-235.

[13] H. Flaschka and A. Newell, Multiphase similarity solutions of integrable evolution equations, Physica **3D** (1981), 203-221.

[14] I.M. Krichever, The dispersionless Lax equations and topological minimal models, Commun. Math. Phys. **143** (1992), 415-429; The τ-function of the universal Whitham hierarchy, matrix models and topological field theories, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. **47** (1994), 437-475.

[15] B.A. Dubrovin, Hamiltonian formalism of Whitham hierarchies and topological Landau-Ginzburg models, Commun. Math. Phys. **145** (1992), 195-207; Geometry

of 2D topological field theories, Lecture Notes in Math. **1620**, pp. 120-348 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelbeg, New York, 1996).

[16] H. Flaschka, M.G. Forest and D.W. McLaughlin, Multiphase averaging and the inverse spectral solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **33** (1980) 739-784.

[17] E.K. Sklyanin, Separation of variables in the Gaudin model, J. Soviet Math. **47** (1989), 2473-2488.

[18] E.K. Sklyanin and T. Takebe, Algebraic Bethe Ansatz for XYZ Gaudin model, q-alg/9601028.

[19] K. Okamoto, On Fuchs's problem on a torus, I, Funkcial. Ekvac. **14** (1971), 137-152; *ditto* II, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA, **24** (1977), 357-371; Déformation d'une équation differéntielle linéaire avec une singularité irrégulière sur un tore, *ibid*, **26** (1979), 501-518.